

AKEP

AUTORITETI I KOMUNIKIMEVE
ELEKTRONIKE DHE POSTARE

**REGULATION
ON
THE INSPECTION AND SUPERVISION OF
UNDERTAKINGS PROVIDING ELECTRONIC
COMMUNICATIONS NETWORKS AND/OR SERVICES**

(Approved by AKEP Steering Council Decision No. 15 dated 28.05.2026)¹

¹ AKEP's position on the comments of interested parties on the Draft Regulation on Inspection and the Draft Regulation on the Methodology for Calculating Fines approved for public consultation with DSC no. 28 dated 14.11.2025 and DCS no. 4, dated 02.02.2026, are published in a separate document on the AKEP website <https://akep.al/konsultime/konsultime-te-perfunduara/>.

Pursuant to article 181 et seq. of Law No. 54/2024, “On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania”, in accordance with the provisions of the Code of Administrative Procedures, as well as the legislation in force governing inspections and administrative offences

THE STEERING COUNCIL OF AKEP

DECIDED:

CHAPTER I: GENERAL PROVISIONS

Article 1

Purpose of inspection

1. AKEP carries out inspection activities for the purpose of supervising, monitoring, and enforcing compliance with the activities of undertakings providing electronic communications networks and electronic communications services, in order to assess and verify their compliance with the provisions set out in Law No. 54/2024 “On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania” (Law No. 54/2024), other acts adopted for its implementation, the general conditions laid down in the General Authorization, and individual authorizations.
2. This Regulation lays down the rules governing the exercise by AKEP of inspection and supervisory powers, in accordance with the legislation on inspection in the Republic of Albania, taking into account the specific technical, operational, and methodological characteristics of the electronic communications sector, as provided for in Law No. 54/2024 and the secondary and regulatory acts issued for its implementation.
3. Establishing the principles, criteria and detailed methodology applied by AKEP for the imposition and calculation of administrative fines on electronic communications undertakings for breaches of legal and regulatory obligations.
4. Ensuring that administrative sanctions are effective, proportionate and dissuasive, in accordance with the standards set out in the European Electronic Communications Code (EECC) and Law No. 54/2024.

Article 2

Principles of inspection

The principles guiding the exercise of inspection activities by AKEP are:

- a) **Independence:** The inspector or inspection team shall act independently and impartially in carrying out the inspection procedure and in taking decisions within the scope of their legal mandate;
- b) **Proportionality:** Inspection actions shall be planned and carried out so that the intervention in the activity of the inspected entity is limited to what is necessary and appropriate to achieve the purpose of the inspection, using the most suitable means to attain the in-tended objective;
- c) **Inspection programming:** Inspection of the activities of undertakings is carried out according to a program with thematic priorities assessed on the basis of risk analysis in relation to the implementation of the general conditions of the General Authorization and the specific conditions under individual authorizations, as well as the need to protect national security, public interest, and in particular users;
- d) **Public information:** AKEP informs undertakings and publishes findings and measures

taken during the administrative inspection procedure in accordance with the legal provisions on transparency and publication of information provided for in Law No. 54/2024 and the applicable legislation for this purpose;

- e) **Protection of confidentiality:** Inspection actions and the publication of information are carried out in compliance with the requirements and conditions laid down in the legislation on the protection of personal data and the protection of data related to the commercial secrets of undertakings.
- f) **Principle of the most favourable provision:** In the exercise of inspection powers, where the inspector observes that an undertaking has applied a law that is in conflict with another law, such application shall be considered lawful, and in all cases the most favourable provision within the hierarchy of laws of equal legal force shall be applied.

Article 3 **Types of inspection**

- 1. Inspection includes any supervisory or enforcement activity carried out by AKEP to verify compliance with legal requirements and the regulatory framework under the General Authorization and individual authorizations by the undertaking subject to inspection. Inspection is carried out at the place or places where the inspected entity conducts its activity, as well as from the offices of AKEP for the control of remotely monitored activity, in accordance with legal provisions and acts in force issued by AKEP.
- 2. Inspections, by the form of authorization and organization, are divided into:
 - a) *Programmed (scheduled) inspection*
 - b) *Unscheduled inspection*

Article 3/1 **Scheduled inspection**

- 1. Scheduled inspection shall be carried out on the basis of the approved annual inspection program, adopted by the Chair of the Steering Council of AKEP, prepared in accordance with a documented risk-assessment methodology
- 2. As a general rule, undertakings shall be inspected in accordance with the approved inspection program, without prejudice to the provisions on unscheduled inspections.

Article 3/2 **Unscheduled inspection**

- 1. By way of exception, an unscheduled inspection may be authorized outside the inspection program by a special order of the Chair of the AKEP Steering Council only where objectively justified, including where:
 - a) as a result of information received from a domestic or foreign public institution, or an international organization, the initiation of an inspection is deemed necessary, following an objective and reasoned assessment by the directorate responsible for inspection;
 - b) events, accidents, or incidents occur that have affected or pose a risk of affecting other lawful rights and interests, to the detriment of effective competition, network infrastructure, or consumers;
 - c) in cases of crisis and emergency;

2. it is provided for by the provisions of Law No. 54/2024. An unscheduled inspection may also be authorized on the basis of a complaint or information received from entities other than those referred to in point (a) of paragraph 1 of this Article.
3. An unscheduled inspection in cases of flagrante delicto is carried out when the inspection body establishes that the entity is carrying out an activity in violation of legal requirements, which must be documented with objects or material evidence. The initiation of inspection under this point shall be accompanied by the immediate issuance of authorization by the Chair of AKEP.

Article 4

Organization of the inspection system in AKEP

1. The inspection system in AKEP consists of the entirety of structures that are involved in and perform inspection functions within the directorate responsible for inspection.
2. Inspection is carried out by inspectors appointed in accordance with the structure and Organizational chart of AKEP, Other members of AKEP's administration, as well as external experts, may also be part of the inspection team, in accordance with their respective fields of expertise and the subject matter or specific issue for which the inspection is being carried out.

Article 5

Role and responsibilities of AKEP the inspection structure

1. The role of the directorate responsible for inspection in AKEP is to improve effectiveness and accountability in inspection activity carried out by AKEP in the field of electronic communications. Each AKEP inspector is provided with his inspection card approved in accordance with (Annex X). The inspection card is the property of AKEP and constitutes the official identification document of the inspector.
2. During the exercise of his legal responsibilities for the inspection of entities provided for by Law No. 54/2024, the inspector has the right to:
 - a) freely enter the premises where the electronic communications undertaking carries out its activity;
 - b) take samples of goods, materials or products for further examination;
 - c) take copies of and seize documentation of the activity in accordance with the object of the inspection;
 - d) request the support of law enforcement authorities during the exercise of inspection activity.

Article 6

Rights of the inspected entity

1. During the inspection, the inspected entity has the following rights:
 - a) to request to be informed of the inspection order prior to the commencement of the inspection;
 - b) to request identification of the inspectors;
 - c) to be present and follow, personally or through its representative, all inspection actions when the inspection is carried out at the place where the activity is exercised;
 - d) to submit opinions and explanations regarding facts, circumstances, or legal issues related to the inspection, or to submit proposals for resolving the matter, as well as

- the relevant documents or materials supporting them;
- e) to request to be informed of and notified with the inspection record;
- f) to submit objections regarding the assessment of evidence administered, the results of the investigation, and the decision intended to be taken.

Article 7

Obligations of the inspected entity

1. During the inspection, the inspected entity has the following obligations:
 - a) When unable to communicate directly with inspectors, to appoint a representative and accompany them to any place/premises as specified in the inspection order;
 - b) To cooperate and not obstruct the performance of inspection actions and the collection of evidence;
 - c) To provide a suitable workspace for inspectors if the inspection is carried out at the place of activity, and to grant them access to equipment and/or infrastructure under its possession or administration;
 - d) To submit any information, data, or document, and to provide any explanations regarding established facts and circumstances necessary for the inspection, if requested by inspectors, while respecting the time limits set by them;
 - e) To allow and facilitate the taking of testimonies from its employees, if requested by inspectors.

CHAPTER II: PROGRAMMING OF INSPECTION ACTIVITIES

Article 8

Inspection planning

1. Inspection activities in AKEP are carried out on the basis of programming as follows:
 - a) The annual plan defines in greater detail the inspection activities to be undertaken during the fiscal year by AKEP throughout the territory of the Republic of Albania. The annual inspection plan is proposed by the directorate responsible for inspection and prepared based on a risk matrix. The annual plan is approved by the Chair of the AKEP Steering Council
 - b) The monthly plan, which implements the annual plan, is proposed by the directorate re-sponsible for inspection and approved by the Chair of the AKEP Steering Council. The monthly inspection plan shall ensure coverage of issues identified in the activities of undertakings, as well as the themes and objectives planned annually.

Article 9

Risk-based inspection planning

1. Inspection planning is prepared using a risk-based approach, meaning that inspection focuses on those entities (undertakings) whose activities contain aspects with potential for non-compliance or conflict with legal obligations and the regulatory framework. Risk assessment is carried out throughout the entire inspection process, particularly during the annual planning phase and during the inspection process itself. Risk analysis primarily considers the most relevant factors affecting the market, such as:

- a) The market significance of the undertaking in terms of networks, public services, and market share relative to the number of users;
 - b) The type, diversity, and technology of networks and services offered to the public by undertakings;
 - c) Technical standards, network infrastructure, and quality of services delivered;
 - d) The volume of subscriber complaints and the undertaking's performance in resolving disputes with subscribers and between undertakings;
 - e) Performance in fulfilling obligations related to national security, network security, personal data protection, registration of subscriber identification data, measures for emergency situations, etc.;
 - f) Outcomes of previous inspections;
 - g) Responsiveness index and compliance with recommendations for remedying violations identified and imposed by inspectors and/or AKEP in inspection acts;
 - h) Performance in implementing special conditions set out in AKEP acts for the use of scarce resources.
3. Risk assessment is based on an overall analysis of undertakings listed in the AKEP data-base, considering the factors above. For methodological assessment purposes, inspected entities are categorized according to the potential level of risk: *high, medium, and low.*

CHAPTER III: GENERAL RULES ON AUTHORIZATION AND THE INSPECTION PROCESS

Article 10 Inspection Forms

1. The standard formats for documenting inspection activities, attached to this Regulation in the Annex 2, are as follows:
 - a) Inspection Order;
 - b) Inspection Record on the Commencement of the Inspection;
 - c) Inspection Record on Electronic Communications Activities;
 - d) Inspection Record on Detected Infringements;
 - e) Inspection record on the Suspension of the Operation of Equipment Used for the Exercise of Electronic Communications Activities;
 - f) Decision on the Blocking, Suspension of Operation, Inventory and Seizure of Equipment Used for the Exercise of Electronic Communications Activities with-out Authorization from AKEP;
 - g) Inspection Decision on Administrative Measures;
 - h) Inspector Identification Card, approved by AKEP;
 - i) Seal for the Blocking of Transmission Equipment and/or the Suspension of Their Operation.

Article 11 Inspection order and notification of the inspected entity

1. Inspection of an entity is generally carried out according to the monthly inspection program, prepared and approved under Chapter II of this Regulation. Exceptionally, an inspection may be authorized outside the inspection program as permitted by special legislation or the Inspection Law.

2. As a rule, upon issuance of the inspection order, the entity designated for inspection shall be notified in advance, no later than 3 (three) days, by the head of the inspection team, via electronic message (email), of the inspection to be carried out, together with a scanned copy of the Inspection Order.

However, following the issuance of authorization, inspection may also be carried out with-out prior notification of the entity, depending on the object of inspection, the risk matrix, and factual circumstances. Prior notification shall not be carried out when:

- a) There is a risk that notification may hinder the effective conduct of the inspection;
 - b) Non-notification is expressly determined by special law;
 - c) Inspection concerns verification of the correction of violations upon expiry of the prescribed deadline;
 - d) A violation of a legal requirement within the inspector's inspection field is detected in flagrante delicto;
 - e) Inspection is conducted due to events, accidents, or incidents that have affected or may affect human life or health, animals, or the environment.
3. The inspection order is accompanied by a checklist to be applied by the inspection team. The checklist reflects the inspection's scope and may involve full or partial verification of:
 - a. The entity's legal documentation and authorizations issued by AKEP;
 - b. Documentation proving fulfilment of the entity's payment obligations to AKEP and the radiocommunication fee;
 - c. Documentation proving compliance with the general conditions of the General Authorization and the special conditions of individual authorizations for the use of scarce resources and acts issued by AKEP;
 - d. Implementation of the notified technical measures, conditions, and technologies;
 - e. Capacities for shared use of facilities and assets;
 - f. Possession of permits and acts issued by competent authorities required to be complied with by the entity pursuant to Law No. 54/2024 and its implementing secondary legislation.

Article 12

Actions of the Inspection Group

1. The inspection group, on the date specified in the order, shall appear at the premises of the entity, present the inspection order, checklist, and inspector identification cards. Regardless of whether the inspection order has been notified in advance or not, in all cases, prior to the commencement of inspection actions, the inspector verbally informs the representative of the inspected entity about the object of the inspection and about the rights and obligations of the inspected entity, in accordance with the law, as well as the legal consequences of non-compliance with these obligations, and subsequently the inspection method and the deadlines for completion of the inspection.
2. The entity specified in the inspection authorization, upon receipt of the inspection notification, is legally obliged and shall take the appropriate measures to enable the inspectors to carry out the inspection. The inspected entity, even in cases where inspectors appear without prior notification, immediately after the inspection group presents the authorization and inspector cards, allows the inspection to be carried out, fully engaging to facilitate the performance of inspection actions in accordance with

the lawful requests of the inspectors. The representative of the entity is responsible for making available to the inspectors:

- a) the requested documentation and information;
 - b) the technological premises where equipment is installed;
 - c) network infrastructure and accompanying facilities;
 - d) responses to questions asked by inspectors;
 - e) the provision of necessary explanations.
3. The administrator/legal representative of the entity or a person authorized by them in writing pursuant to the company statute (the inspected entity) accompanies the inspectors during the inspection actions and signs the acts issued by the inspector.
 4. The administrator/legal representative must ensure that the registered office, activity lo-cations, and notified electronic address are accurate in accordance with the general authorization. In the event of inaccuracy or false notification of the address, the entity shall be penalized in accordance with legal provisions.
 5. Refusal to allow inspection and the intentional creation of obstacles to the performance of inspection constitute an administrative offense and are punishable in accordance with le-gal provisions.

Article 13

Remote control of the activities of entities

1. Part of the inspection implementation procedure is also the remote control of the activity of entities (entrepreneurs), which is carried out by AKEP inspectors/experts by monitoring from their working premises or from locations where fixed or mobile monitoring equipment is installed for monitoring the use of frequencies and quality indicators of the provision of public electronic communications networks and services. Remote control of the activity of entities consists of:
 - a) Monitoring activities for which entities are required to submit documentation to AKEP in accordance with the law;
 - b) Monitoring compliance with obligations set out in the General Authorization, the implementation of which can be verified through their public availability or through the use of various applications;
 - c) Monitoring the use of the frequency spectrum allocated under individual authorizations issued by AKEP in accordance with the law;
 - d) Administration of complaints submitted by users and subscribers of public electronic communications networks and services;
 - e) Administration of complaints or monitoring carried out by other state or public institutions in specific fields related to the implementation of Law No. 54/2024 and the secondary legislation adopted pursuant thereto.
2. The results of remote control are made available to the entities by AKEP, accompanied by the administered evidence, relevant recommendations with specified deadlines for remedying identified violations, as well as administrative acts applying possible administrative measures provided for bylaw for administrative offenses, applying a

procedure in accordance with the Code of Administrative Procedures

Article 14 **Inspection findings process**

1. During the inspection, inspectors shall verify the activity of the entity within the scope defined in the Inspection Order and checklist. If, during the inspection, violations or irregularities not foreseen in the object of the Inspection Order are identified, inspectors shall notify the Chair of the AKEP Steering Council and request an extension of the inspection scope. The Chair of the AKEP Steering Council, depending on the risk and factual circumstances, decides on the extension of the inspection scope or issues a new order appointing another inspection group.

Article 15 **Inspection Record**

1. Generally, the inspection record is completed during fieldwork by the head of the inspection group in cooperation with the inspectors/experts carrying out the inspection of the entity and is notified without delay to the inspected entity. Inspectors/experts in the composition of the working group must agree on the accuracy of their factual findings from fieldwork.
2. If completion of the inspection record at the inspection site is not possible, it shall be completed at AKEP offices and notified within 5 (five) working days from the date of completion of the inspection at the entity. Upon expiration of this deadline, within 30 days, the final inspection decision shall be taken. The inspection record is prepared in three copies and signed by the inspection group. In case of disagreement, the inspector/expert holding a different view from the rest of the group shall submit their observation in writing, providing legal and factual justification.
3. The inspection record begins with the recording of general data:
 - a) Data on the entity
 - b) Accuracy of the notified address;
 - c) Notification of the inspection or not;
 - d) Inspection authorization together with the inspection object and checklist;
 - e) Allowance or obstruction of the inspection;
 - f) Participation or exclusion of the authorized representative of the entity, recording also the reason for exclusion.
4. In the inspection record, the inspection group chronologically records all work performed, noting all findings at the entity and evidencing all verified documentation. The inspection record identifies detected violations, evidence substantiating the violation, seized documents and blocked equipment (if any), proposed or imposed administrative measures, communication of the rights available to the entity for appeal, as well as assigned tasks and recommendations. An integral part of the inspection record is the "Checklist".
5. At closure, the procedure is formalized by the signatures of the inspectors and the

entity. The entity is obliged to sign the inspection record in all cases. If the entity disagrees with the inspection record, it signs it “with reservation” shall submit its objections to AKEP within 8 (eight) days from the date of becoming aware of the inspection record. An inspection record not signed by the inspected entity, not accompanied by the note “signature with reservation” and the act of objections, shall be considered valid and shall have the same legal force as if it were duly signed by the entity.

Article 16

Urgent Measures

1. During the inspection, the inspector may take urgent measures if violations or suspected violations could cause immediate, serious, or irreparable harm to the public interest, including further verification or testing.
2. Where possible, the intention to take an urgent measure shall be communicated verbally in advance to the representative of the inspected entity, giving them the opportunity to promptly submit comments and explanations.
3. The inspector records in the inspection record the urgent measures taken due to identified violations of legal requirements and the immediate harmful effect that may arise to public safety. In cases of urgent measures, an On-the-Spot Inspection Record and an Interim Decision are completed, which provide space for uploading documents into the inspection record.
4. Urgent measures may include:
 - a) Suspension of the performance of an action, activity, or part thereof;
 - b) Prohibition of the operation of a network installation or equipment;
 - c) Blocking of unauthorized equipment;
 - d) Ordering the inspected entity to notify third parties or the public of possible risks;
 - e) Any other measure deemed necessary and proportionate to achieve the purpose of the urgent measure.

Article 17

Interim decision

1. The interim decision is immediately enforceable. If it is not voluntarily executed without delay by the inspected entity, or if it declares or circumstances indicate that it will not execute the decision, the decision shall be executed immediately by the relevant inspector within the inspection group, at the expense of the inspected entity, which shall be reflected in the final decision or in a separate act.
2. Against the urgent measure decision, with the exception of point “c” of paragraph 4 of Article 16, the interested entity has the right to lodge a separate appeal within 5 days to the AKEP Steering Council. The handling of the appeal and drafting of acts for review and approval by the Steering Council is carried out by the directorate responsible for legal matters and the directorate responsible for inspection.

3. The interim decision expires automatically upon the lapse of the period provided therein, and if no such period is provided, upon:
 - a) Notification of its revocation;
 - b) Notification of the termination of the procedure or notification of the final decision finding no violation of legal requirements;
 - c) Expiry of the deadline for notification of the final inspection decision without such a decision;
 - d) Notification of the decision resolving the appeal against the final inspection decision, where an appeal has been lodged;
 - e) Expiry of the deadline for filing an administrative appeal against the final decision without an appeal being lodged;
 - f) Express ordering by a court decision.

4. The interim decision on taking an urgent measure is reflected in the inspection record and notified to the entity without delay, verbally. A written act with the same content is notified within 24 hours. Urgent measures may be taken until the moment of adoption

Article 18 **Final decision**

1. The final inspection decision is the act that closes an inspection procedure and is issued by the inspection group after the signing of the inspection record. Where violations have been identified, the inspection group, based on the inspection record and the administration of explanations, evidence, and objections provided by the inspected entity, prepares the final inspection decision and within 30 days from the date of notification of the inspection record sends it to the inspected entity, specifying also the administrative measures. Where no violations are identified, the final inspection decision is attached to the inspection record and delivered to the entity on the spot and simultaneously.

Article 19 **Criteria for imposing administrative measures**

1. Where, in the course of an inspection, it is established that an undertaking has breached the provisions of Law No. 54/2024, AKEP inspectors shall impose the administrative measures provided for under that Law. In determining the imposition of a fine or other administrative measure, the inspectors shall take into account the following criteria:
 - a) the seriousness and duration of the violation;
 - b) the circumstances under which the violation was committed;
 - c) whether the entrepreneur in violation has a history of non-compliance;
 - d) the consequences resulting from the identified violation.

2. The amount of the administrative fine shall be calculated in accordance with Annex 1, ‘Methodology for the Calculation of the Administrative Fine’, to this Regulation.
3. In cases where inspectors propose to the AKEP Steering Council the adoption of administrative measures provided for in paragraph 5 of Article 183 of Law No. 54/2024, the entity retains the right to a hearing, in order to provide explanations.
4. The Steering Council of the Authority of Electronic and Postal Communications, after reviewing the inspectors’ proposal in accordance with letters “a” and “b” of paragraph 5 of Article 183 of Law No. 54/2024, and after conducting a hearing with the entity, decides:
 - a) Initially, to issue a written warning to the entrepreneur, specifying the violation committed and granting a reasonable deadline for remedying it;
 - b) If the entrepreneur fails to fulfil obligations within the deadline set by the AKEP Steering Council, the Steering Council decides to revoke:
 - i. the right to use one or more frequencies or numbering; or
 - ii. the right to provide electronic communications services or networks.
5. An appeal against the decision of the AKEP Steering Council may be filed with the competent court within 45 days from notification of the decision.

Article 20

Blocking of Equipment and/or Prohibition of Their Operation

1. Blocking of equipment and/or prohibition of their operation, as well as their inventory and seizure, is carried out in accordance with Article 182 of Law No. 54/2024.
2. If inspectors, during the inspection, discover that radio equipment of electronic communications networks and services causes harmful interference, regardless of whether authorization/license has been obtained for their use, they decide to prohibit their operation, which is immediately notified to the representative of the entity.
3. The prohibition of operation of equipment is carried out through the relevant inspection record, which is signed by the inspectors and a representative of the entity. If the representative refuses to sign, this fact is recorded in the inspection record and the inspection record is posted at the inspection site.
4. Upon written request of the entity that caused the interference, inspectors conduct the necessary verification and, if they observe that harmful interference has been eliminated, decide to allow further operation of the prohibited equipment.
5. When a natural or legal person carries out activity in the field of electronic communications without authorization/license from AKEP, inspectors decide to block, inventory, and seize the equipment used for carrying out such activity, which is immediately notified to the representative of the entity.
6. Inventory and seizure of equipment is carried out through the relevant inspection record, which is signed by inspectors and a representative of the entity. If the representative refuses to sign, this fact is recorded in the inspection record and the inspection record is posted at the inspection site.

7. Blocked equipment is inventoried and seized. Upon expiration of the 30-day period from the date of blocking, if no appeal has been filed by the entrepreneur, the Chair of AKEP orders the transfer of the equipment for sale to the competent authority charged by law, in accordance with the provisions of the Law on Administrative Offenses No. 10279/2010.
8. For the execution of equipment blocking duties, AKEP cooperates with local public authorities, the State Police, and the enforcement service.
9. Blocking of equipment and/or prohibition of their operation is indicated by a seal, the content of which is according to the model attached to this Regulation.

Article 21

Appeal against the final inspection decision

1. After issuance of the final decision, the entity has the right to lodge an administrative appeal against the imposed fine. The administrative appeal is addressed to the AKEP Steering Council within 30 days from the day the inspected entity receives notification of the final decision, which also specifies the right to submit an appeal to the AKEP Steering Council regarding the imposed fine.
2. Submission of an administrative appeal suspends the execution of the appealed final decision; however, submission of an administrative appeal does not affect the execution of urgent measures taken by the inspection group during the inspection process.

Article 22

Decision-making of the Steering Council

1. Upon receipt of the appeal, the Cabinet of the Chair of the Steering Council informs the Steering Council and forwards the appeal together with the accompanying documentary file to the directorate responsible for legal matters and the directorate responsible for inspection.
2. The directorates referred to in paragraph 1 of this Article process the appeal by preparing opinions and acts for review at the Steering Council meeting. The deadline for review of the appeal is 30 days from the date of filing the appeal, and procedures are applied in accordance with the provisions of the Code of Administrative Procedures. Upon completion of the review, the Steering Council issues a final decision, which is sent to the entity and to AKEP inspection structures for its execution.
3. Against the decision of the Steering Council, the inspected entity has the right to lodge an appeal with the Court in accordance with the legislation in force, within 45 days from the date of receipt of the decision.

Article 23

Execution of the final decision

1. Upon completion of the appeal procedure and issuance of the AKEP Steering Council Decision, the responsible inspection structure forwards the documentary file

according to the case file opened by the inspection group to the directorate responsible for financial management, for the purpose of collecting the fine through voluntary execution of the obligation within a 30-day period from the date of notification to the inspected entity or publication of the voluntary execution request.

2. Pursuant to Article 184 of Law No. 54/2024, if the imposed fine is paid by the responsible entity within 30 days (voluntary execution) from notification of the relevant AKEP decision, the amount of the fine is automatically reduced by 15%.
3. After the voluntary execution period, if the entity does not comply with execution of the decision, the responsible structures at AKEP initiate execution procedures through enforcement services.

Article 24

Correction and follow-up of violations identified by inspection

1. Where necessary, the administrative penalty is accompanied by an order requiring the inspected entity to correct the identified violations and eliminate their consequences, setting a reasonable deadline for this purpose. The penalty decision includes written advice (recommendations) to the entity on how to correct the violations, reflected in an accompanying sheet.
2. The responsible inspection structure prepares the follow-up process for correction of identified violations to monitor and ensure that assigned tasks have been effectively implemented; for this purpose, inspections are also planned to verify fulfilment of tasks assigned in the previous inspection. The deadlines of this type of inspection are not included in the general deadlines set for the maximum duration of stay at an inspected entity during the fiscal period.
3. The inspected entity is obliged to notify the correction of violations within the deadline specified by inspectors in the instruction sheet left together with the final decision of the previous inspection and, if necessary, to submit evidence substantiating this fact.
4. To verify the correction of violations, a new inspection may be conducted. The inspection for follow-up of correction ensures that the inspected entity has completed the work for which it was informed by the final decision and the inspection instruction sheet.
5. Although all tasks assigned by the inspection group are important, some may be more important than others. Consequently, inspectors should determine a level of importance for each task, and the three criteria to be used for their assessment are as follows:
 - a) **High** – Applies to significant irregularities identified during inspection and represents a problem that must be addressed immediately by the inspected entity due to high risk;
 - b) **Medium** – Applies to a significant irregularity identified during inspection, which must be addressed immediately by the inspected entity but is not of high

- risk;
- c) **Low** – Applies when minor (not significant) irregularities have been identified during inspection. Changes should be implemented over time and are not a priority to be addressed immediately.

Article 25

Reporting on Follow-Up of Assigned Tasks

1. Certain entities or inspection areas may be a significant part of AKEP's inspection activity and may therefore be inspected annually. In such cases, inspectors must include progress in the implementation of tasks assigned in the previous inspection for that entity or inspection area. Inspectors, in the following year, must require periodic reports from the inspected entity, specifying the progress made in implementing tasks for correcting violations identified in the previous inspection.
2. Inspectors summarize findings clearly and accurately and record them in specific parts of the inspection report, and must be attentive both to the entity's reactions and to deadlines for implementing assigned tasks.
3. All tasks assigned by inspectors at the end of the inspection procedure must be:
 - a) Specific regarding the actions to be taken by the inspected entity;
 - b) Useful, practical, and based on an assessment of the entity's ability to implement them;
 - c) Take into account implementation costs, and if such costs are significant, this must be reported.

CHAPTER IV: INSPECTION REPORTING AND COMMUNICATION

Article 26

Structure of inspection reports

The structure of inspection reports at AKEP includes the following main headings:

- a) Executive summary;
- b) Introduction;
- c) Inspection methodology;
- d) Findings and conclusions;
- e) Recommendations.

Article 27

Periodic reports and annual inspection report

1. Inspection reports are prepared by the inspection sector and, after confirmation by the director of the structure responsible for inspection, are forwarded to the Cabinet for procedure. The Cabinet, after obtaining the approval of the Chair, forwards the annual report to the AKEP Steering Council. Reports are monthly and annual.
2. Monthly reports reflect the work performed and expectations, while the annual report analytically reflects the results achieved from the implementation of measures and

tasks for correcting violations.

3. The annual report must be objective and fair and include all inspection areas within AKEP's jurisdiction. Its format must mainly include:
 - a) Main headings and appropriate subheadings;
 - b) Comments on working documents and periodic reports;
 - c) Examples to support the comments provided;
 - d) A summary providing a brief description of the inspection activity carried out;
 - e) Constructive recommendations and suggested improvements;
 - f) Objectives for the following year.

CHAPTER V: TRANSITIONAL AND FINAL PROVISIONS

Article 28 Transitional Provision

For administrative procedures initiated prior to the entry into force of this Regulation, the provisions of the Regulation "Methodology for the Inspection of the Activities of Undertakings Providing Electronic Communications Networks and Services", approved by AKEP Decision No. 46, dated 27 October 2016, shall continue to apply.

Article 29 Repeal

Regulation No. 46, dated 27.10.2016 "Methodology for the inspection of the activity of entrepreneurs of electronic communications networks and services" is repealed.

Article 30 Entry into Force

This Regulation, Annex 1 and the forms attached thereto shall enter into force on the date of approval by the AKEP Steering Board.

ANNEX 1: METHODOLOGY FOR THE CALCULATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE FINES

INTRODUCTION

The Methodology for the Calculation of Administrative Fines is a document required to be adopted pursuant to the legal authorization provided by Law No. 54/2024 “On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania”, within the framework of the implementation and enforcement of inspection procedures by the Authority for Electronic and Postal Communications (AKEP).

Article 184 of Law No. 54/2024 (Fines) does not establish fixed amounts of administrative fines, but rather provides for sanctions based on annual gross turnover, in line with modern approaches applied within the European Union. In this context, the arbitrary imposition of fines, or the imposition of fines without comprehensive legal reasoning, would be highly vulnerable to judicial review, thereby seriously undermining AKEP’s inspection and enforcement activities in the electronic communications sector.

The European legal framework requires a rigorous and structured approach that incorporates, within a single methodology for the calculation of administrative fines, two fundamental elements of the authority’s decision-making process when imposing an administrative sanction: the economic aspect and the protection of fundamental rights.

In light of the above, AKEP has developed and adopted this methodology for the calculation of administrative fines in full compliance with Law No. 54/2024, making it an integral part of the Inspection Regulation. It serves as a binding regulatory instrument for AKEP’s inspection structures, with the objective of ensuring a proportionate, transparent and effective assessment of fines imposed on electronic communications undertakings that carry out activities in breach of the provisions of Law No. 54/2024.

This Methodology has been developed on the basis of three (3) core principles that must guide the entire inspection process at every stage thereof. Specifically, the methodology adopted by AKEP takes into account the principles of **proportionality**, **effectiveness**, and **deterrence**. These three principles are likewise reflected in the European Electronic Communications Code (EECC) with regard to the imposition of administrative fines and sanctions.

The specific methodology for calculating the amount of an administrative fine, set out in Section III of this Annex to the Regulation, divides the process into four (4) stages or steps, drawing upon the model established under the European Union General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR).

I. GENERAL PROVISIONS

1. Purpose

1.1. This Methodology establishes the principles, criteria and detailed methodology applied by AKEP for the imposition and calculation of administrative fines on electronic communications undertakings (hereinafter referred to as the “Undertaking”) for breaches of legal and regulatory obligations.

1.2. The purpose of this Methodology is to ensure that administrative fines and sanctions are effective, proportionate and dissuasive, in accordance with the standards of the European Electronic Communications Code (EECC) and Law No. 54/2024.

2. Legal Basis

This Methodology is adopted pursuant to Article 183(3) of Law No. 54/2024 “On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania” and the legislation governing inspections in the Republic of Albania.

3. Scope of Application

3.1. This Methodology shall be applied by AKEP’s inspection and management structures in accordance with the provisions of Law No. 54/2024.

3.2. The rules set out in this Methodology shall apply where administrative fines are prescribed within maximum statutory limits.

II. RULES FOR THE IMPOSITION OF ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTIONS

4. Imposition of Administrative Sanctions

4.1. This Methodology establishes and ensures that decisions imposing administrative fines are proportionate, effective and dissuasive.

4.2. In particular, the level of the sanction shall be proportionate so as to have an appropriate impact on the inspected entities and to promote their proactive compliance with the legal and regulatory framework governing electronic communications.

5. Maximum Levels of Administrative Fines

5.1. The maximum levels of administrative fines are established in Article 184 of Law No. 54/2024 and are differentiated according to the categories of infringements, based on the undertaking’s annual revenues derived from electronic communications activities during the preceding calendar year.

5.2. The administrative fines shall be imposed as follows:

a) Up to 3% of the annual revenues generated in the last closed financial year, but not exceeding ALL 100,000,000 (one hundred million Albanian Lek), for the infringements specified in Article 184(1)(a) of Law No. 54/2024;

b) Up to 2% of the annual revenues generated in the last closed financial year, but not exceeding ALL 50,000,000 (fifty million Albanian Lek), for the infringements specified in Article 184(1)(b) of Law No. 54/2024;

c) Up to ALL 1,000,000 (one million Albanian Lek), for the infringements specified in Article 184(1)(c) of Law No. 54/2024;

d) Where the infringements referred to in Article 184(1)(a) and (b) of Law No. 54/2024 are committed by an undertaking that is in its first year of operation and therefore has no annual revenue data for the last closed financial year, the undertaking shall be subject to a fine ranging from ALL 1,000,000 (one million Albanian Lek) to ALL 50,000,000 (fifty million Albanian Lek).

5.3. No administrative fine may be imposed below the minimum threshold established in Article 184(1)(c) of Law No. 54/2024, irrespective of the annual revenues generated by the undertaking.

6. Criteria for Determining the Amount of the Administrative Fine

6.1. In order to ensure proportionality in the imposition of administrative fines, the responsible inspection structures shall take into consideration the following criteria for determining the amount of the fine:

- a) The seriousness and duration of the infringement;
- b) The extent of the damage resulting from the infringement;
- c) The degree of fault of the undertaking in committing the infringement;
- d) The history of previous infringements and non-compliance.

7. Seriousness and Duration of the Infringement

7.1. This criterion focuses on the objective nature, purpose and duration of the infringement.

7.2. In assessing the nature of the infringement, the inspection structure shall evaluate:

- a) the importance of the legal provision infringed. In particular, infringements of provisions relating to network security and integrity, competitive conduct in the market, and consumer protection shall be regarded as more serious;
- b) whether the infringement affects or relates to an essential activity or service, as opposed to a secondary activity or service.

7.3. In assessing the duration of the infringement, the inspection structure shall evaluate:

- a) the period of time from the commencement of the infringement until its cessation or until the infringement is identified by an AKEP inspector. A longer duration shall constitute an aggravating factor;
- b) the failure to take timely action to remedy the infringement, including after the undertaking has been alerted by AKEP.

8. Damage Resulting from the Infringement

8.1. This criterion is intended to assess the effects or consequences resulting from the undertaking's infringement.

8.2. The criterion shall be categorized according to the type of damage caused, as follows:

- a) **Financial damage:** the financial harm caused to consumers, competing undertakings, or third parties shall be assessed;
- b) **Non-financial damage:** the inspector shall assess whether the infringement has resulted in non-financial consequences, such as breaches of confidentiality obligations, deterioration in service quality, or similar adverse effects;

c) **Damage to market competition:** infringements that adversely affect competition, such as market foreclosure, restrictions on market access, or unfair pricing practices, shall result in more severe sanctions.

III. CALCULATION OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE FINE

9. Stages for Calculating the Administrative Fine

The process for calculating the amount of the administrative fine shall follow four stages, as set out below:

9.1. First Stage: Determination of the Maximum Reference Amount of the Fine

- a) The specific provision, article or paragraph of Law No. 54/2024 that has been infringed shall be identified;
- b) The maximum level of the fine shall be determined in accordance with Section 5.2 of this Annex to the Regulation;
- c) The value of the undertaking's annual revenues generated during the previous calendar year shall be identified. Where the amount of the fine calculated as a percentage of the undertaking's annual revenues exceeds the fixed maximum amount of the fine (the statutory ceiling expressed in Albanian Lek), as established by Article 184 of Law No. 54/2024, the latter shall apply in all cases;
- d) Where technically related infringements are identified, the amount of the fine shall not exceed the maximum ceiling applicable to the most serious infringement.

9.2. Second Stage: Assessment of the Fine on the Basis of the Criteria Set Out in Section 6 of Annex 1 to this Regulation

a) The initial amount of the fine shall be determined by assessing the criteria set out in Section 6 of Annex 1 to this Regulation, which derive from Article 183(2) of Law No. 54/2024. The assessment shall be categorised on a percentage basis as follows:

Category	Criteria for Determining the Amount of the Fine	Amount of the Fine
Low	Minor consequences resulting from the infringement, committed over a short period of time.	Up to 10% of the maximum level of the fine
Medium	Moderately serious consequences resulting from the infringement, committed over a relatively limited period of time.	10% – 30% of the maximum level of the fine
High	Very serious consequences resulting from the infringement, affecting multiple areas and repeated over a prolonged period of time.	30% – 100% of the maximum level of the fine

b) Following the determination of the applicable percentage pursuant to point **9.2(a)** above, the amount of the fine shall be calculated by multiplying the relevant percentage by the maximum level of the fine.

Amount of the Fine = Percentage Rate × Maximum Level of the Fine

9.3. Third Stage: Application of Aggravating and Mitigating Factors to the Amount of the Fine

The amount of the fine determined in accordance with the preceding stages (Sections 9.1 and 9.2) shall be adjusted by applying aggravating or mitigating factors (through an increase or reduction expressed as a percentage), based on the conduct and activities of the undertaking.

a) Aggravating factors:

- i. The undertaking is a repeat offender (+10%);
- ii. Attempting to manipulate evidence or obstruct inspection activities (+10%).

b) Mitigating factors:

- i. Demonstrated and documented willingness to promptly remedy the infringement (−10%);
- ii. Full cooperation with the inspection structure (−10%);
- iii. Voluntary reporting of the infringement (−10%).

9.4. Fourth Stage: Voluntary Payment of the Fine

a) Verification that the amount of the fine complies with the fixed maximum limits established under Article 184 of the Law.

b) Voluntary payment of the fine. In such case, Article 184(3) of Law No. 54/2024 shall apply, providing for a reduction of the fine by **15%** where the fine is paid within **30 days** from notification of the decision.

ANNEX 2: STANDARD TEMPLATES FOR THE DOCUMENTATION OF INSPECTION ACTIVITIES



REPUBLIKA E SHQIPËRISË
ELECTRONIC AND POSTAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY

No. ____prot.,

Tirana on ____.

INSPECTION ORDER

No. ____, Date ____.

Based on Article 181 and the following provisions of Law No. 54/2024, “On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania”, I order the inspection of the entity/s, as follows:

Details of the inspection entity(s):

Name of the entity: _____ NIPT: _____

Address/location: _____

Description of the inspection object:

Authorized inspectors to carry out the inspection:

1. _____ ID: _____

2. _____ ID: _____

3. _____ ID: _____

as well as other authorized persons:

1. ID: _____

2. ID: _____

Duration of inspection: _____

Place(s) where the inspection will be carried out (address(es): _____

Announcement prior the inspection order subject/s the Inspection: Yes No

Prior notification of the inspection order is not provided for the following reason(s): _____

CHAIRPERSON

Signature and institutional seal



REPUBLIKA E SHQIPËRISË
ELECTRONIC AND POSTAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY

No. ____prot.,

Tirana on ____.

INSPECTION COMMENCEMENT RECORD

Held today, on date __.__.____, at the premises of the undertaking/entity, _____, located at _____, by: AKEP inspectors:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____
4. _____
5. _____

Based on the Order of the Chairperson of AKEP, No.____ , dated____.____.____ “On the inspection of the activity of undertakings/entities of electronic communications”, the Inspection Group hereby notifies the entity _____, represented by _____, holding the position of _____ within the said subject, of the scope and object of Order No. ____, dated _____, issued by the Chairperson of AKEP.

FOR THESE REASONS

Based on Article , of Regulation “On the approval of the regulation “On the inspection of the activity of entrepreneurs of electronic communications networks and services”, approved by AKEP Steering Council Decision No.____, dated___.____.____, this attendance record was kept, for the further continuation of the inspection procedure.

INSPECTION GROUP

FOR THE ENTITY OF INSPECTION



REPUBLIKA E SHQIPËRISË
ELECTRONIC AND POSTAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY

No. ____prot.,

Tirana on ____.

INSPECTION RECORD No. _
ON THE INSPECTION OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS ACTIVITIES

Held today, on date, by the Inspection Group of the Authority of Electronic and Postal Communications (AKEP), based on Order No. ____ dated __.__.__, issued by the Chairperson of AKEP, at the premises of the entity _____, located at

_____, in the presence of the representative of the inspection entity _____, during the conduct of the inspection of electronic communications activities.

Prior notification provided to the entity: Yes No

Inspection ordered by decision: Yes No

On-site inspection: Yes No (Remote)

Forced entry and inspection in the presence of a State Police officer:

Yes No

Urgent measures: Yes No

FINDINGS:

1. **Evidence (samples) collected:** Yes No

2. **Documents obtained:**

Document number and description, including number of pages:

3. **Decision on seizure of documentation:**

Seized document number and description, including number of pages:

1.

2.

4. **Unfulfilled obligations from the previous inspection:**

5. **Obligation of the entity to appear at the inspector's office:**

Yes No

The authorized representative of the entity _____, on ._____, at _____, is required to appear at the following address: _____, for the continuation of the inspection procedure.

6. Documentation and items to be submitted:

1.

2.

3.

Documents submitted:

Document number and description, including number of pages:

1.

2.

Items submitted:

Item number and description	Quantity
1.	
2.	

Failure to submit the requested documentation shall be considered an obstruction of the Inspection Group in the performance of its duties, pursuant to paragraph 3 of Article 181 of Law No. 54/2024, “*On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania*”.

FOR THESE REASONS:

Based on Law No. 54, dated 30.05.2024, “*On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania*”, and Decision No. __, dated ._____, “*On the approval of the Regulation ‘On the inspection of the activity of undertakings of electronic communications networks and services’*”, adopted by the AKEP Steering Council, this inspection record has been drawn up for the continuation of the inspection procedure.

THE INSPECTION GROUP

FOR THE INSPECTION ENTITY



REPUBLIKA E SHQIPËRISË
ELECTRONIC AND POSTAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY

No. _____ prot.,

Tirana on ____ . ____ . ____

INSPECTION RECORD No. __

ON THE DETECTED INFRINGEMENTS

Based on Order No. __, dated .., issued by the Chairperson of the Authority of Electronic and Postal Communications (AKEP), and further to Inspection Record No. __, dated .., the Inspection Group, during the inspection carried out at the premises where the equipment of the inspection entity

_____ is installed, and in the presence of the representative of the entity _____, found the following violations:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____
4. _____
5. _____

INSPECTION GROUP

FOR THE ENTITY OF INSPECTION



REPUBLIKA E SHQIPËRISË
ELECTRONIC AND POSTAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY

No. ____prot.,

Tirana on ____ . ____ . ____

INSPECTION RECORD No.

**ON THE SUSPENSION OF OPERATION OF EQUIPMENT USED BY
THE ENTITY _____ DURING THE CONDUCT
OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS ACTIVITIES**

Held today, on _____, at the premises of the entity _____, located at _____, in the presence of the representative of the entity _____, the Inspection Group, based on Article 182 of Law No. 54/2024 "On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania", as well as Regulation "On the inspection of the activity of undertakings of electronic communications networks and services", adopted by the AKEP Steering Council Decision No __, dated __. __. ____, hereby suspends the operation of the equipment used by the entity _____, according to the following inventory:

No	Name	Unit	Device serial number
.			

Other notes:

INSPECTION GROUP

FOR THE ENTITY OF INSPECTION



REPUBLIKA E SHQIPËRISË
ELECTRONIC AND POSTAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY

No. ____ prot.,

Tirana on ____ . ____ . ____

DECISION

No. __, Date ____ . ____ . ____

**ON THE BLOCKING, INVENTORYING, AND SEIZURE OF EQUIPMENT USED
BY THE ENTITY _____**

**IN THE PROVISION OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS ACTIVITIES,
WITHOUT AUTHORIZATION/LICENSE ISSUED BY AKEP**

Based on Order No. __, dated ____, issued by the Chairperson of the Authority of Electronic Communications (AKEP), the Inspection Group,

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____ finds that: _____

For the above reasons, pursuant to Article 182 of Law No. 54/2024, “On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania”, as well as Regulation “On the approval of the Regulation ‘On the inspection of the activity of undertakings of electronic communications networks and services’”, adopted by the AKEP Steering Council Decision No __, dated __. __. ____, the Inspection Group,

DECIDED:

1. To block, inventory, and seize the equipment of the entity
_____ used during the provision of electronic communications activities without AKEP authorization.
2. The entity may appeal this decision to the AKEP Steering Council within 30 (thirty) days from notification of the decision.
3. After the appeal period has expired, and if, following the review of the appeal process, the inspection decision on the blocking and seizure of the equipment is deemed lawful, these devices shall be confiscated.

This decision enters into force immediately.

THE INSPECTION GROUP:



REPUBLIKA E SHQIPËRISË
ELECTRONIC AND POSTAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY

No. ____ prot.,

Tirana on ____ . ____ . ____

DECISION

No. __, Date ____ . ____ . ____

**ON THE SUSPENSION OF OPERATION OF EQUIPMENT USED BY THE
ENTITY _____
IN THE PROVISION OF ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS ACTIVITIES**

Based on Order No. __, dated ____, issued by the Chairperson of the Authority of Electronic Communications (AKEP), the Inspection Group:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____

and following the Inspection Record No. ____, date ____ . ____ . ____ , according to which it was found that:

For the above reasons, pursuant to Article 182 of Law No. 54/2024, “On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania”, as well as Regulation, ‘On the inspection of the activity of undertakings of electronic communications networks and services’”, adopted by the AKEP Steering Council Decision No __, dated ____ . ____ . ____ , the Inspection Group

DECIDED:

1. To suspend the operation of the equipment used by the inspected entity _____, during the conduct of electronic communications activities, in violation of Law No. 54/2024, “*On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania*”.
2. The entity may appeal this decision to the Steering Council within 5 (five) days from the notification of the decision.

This decision enters into force immediately.

THE INSPECTION GROUP



REPUBLIKA E SHQIPËRISË
ELECTRONIC AND POSTAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY

No. _____ prot.,

Tirana on ____:____:_____

DECISION
No. __, Date ____.

ON THE ADMINISTRATIVE SANCTIONING OF THE ENTITY

Based on Order No. _____, dated _____, issued by the Chairperson of the Authority of Electronic Communications (AKEP), the Inspection Group:

1. _____

2. _____

3. _____
_____ and following the Inspection Record/s No. _____, dated _____, according to which it was found that:

For the above reasons, pursuant to Articles 184 and 185 of Law No. 54/2024, “On Electronic Communications in the Republic of Albania”, as well Regulation ‘On the inspection of the activity of under-takings of electronic communications networks and services’”, adopted by the AKEP Steering Council Decision No. __, dated ____:____:____, the Inspection Group finds that the violations committed by

the entity _____

constitute administrative offenses and therefore:

DECIDES:

1. Administrative sanctioning:
 - a. _____;
2. Correction of the identified violations and elimination of their consequences within a reasonable timeframe. Tasks to be completed according to the respective deadlines:

3. The entity may appeal this decision within 30 (thirty) days from the date of notification, to the AKEP Steering Council.
4. The fine constitutes an enforceable title and shall be transferred to the State Budget. If the imposed fine is paid by the sanctioned entity within 30 (thirty) days from the notification of the AKEP decision, the fine shall be automatically reduced by 15% of the imposed amount.

Correction of the identified violations and elimination of their consequences within a rea-sonable timeframe. Tasks to be completed according to the respective deadlines:


THE INSPECTION GROUP




REPUBLIKA E SHQIPËRISË
ELECTRONIC AND POSTAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY

Format of the AKEP Inspector Identification Card

(Front side)

 REPUBLIKA E SHQIPËRISË ELECTRONIC AND POSTAL COMMUNICATIONS AUTHORITY	
INSPECTOR IDENTIFICATION CARD	
	<div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 150px; height: 50px; margin: 0 auto; display: flex; align-items: center; justify-content: center;">Photo if the Inspector</div>
First Name
Last name:
Position:
Issued on: xx/xx/20xx	

(Back side)


<i>This card may only be used by its holder. In the event of loss or if found by another person, please return it to AKEP.</i>
Card Number: _____
www.akep.al info@akep.al

Format of the AKEP Seal for Equipment Blocking

